UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,785	09/22/2000	James Longbottom	WEAT/0042	2355
	7590 01/07/200 & SHERIDAN , L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
3040 POST OA	K BOULEVARD, SU	FRENEL, VANEL		
HOUSTON, TX 77056			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/668,785	LONGBOTTOM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		VANEL FRENEL	3687			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted treply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) \	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10/1</u>	14/08				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	, 					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>96-111</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>96-111</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
	ion Papers	·				
		Or.				
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
10)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,—	•	Administ. Note the attached office	Action of Ionn't TO-102.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 20081014.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/668,785 Page 2

Art Unit: 3687

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the Amendment filed on 10/14/08. Claims 96-111 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 96-111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henneuse et al.(5,272,925) in view of Devereaux et al. (2007/0043843).

As per claim 96, Henneuse discloses a method for monitoring assembly of a tubular connection at a drilling rig from an off-site location (See Henneuse, Fig.1; Col.1, lines 12-28), comprising: engaging a first threaded tubular with a second threaded tubular (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 37-46); rotating the first tubular relative to the second tubular (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 37-46); and during rotation of the first threaded tubular: measuring torque applied to the first tubular (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 28-33).

Henneuse does not explicitly transmitting the torque measurement to a remote computer via a wireless communication link; monitoring assembly of the connection via

Art Unit: 3687

an at least two-way data communication connection over the Internet between the remote computer and a computer at the off-site location by a person at the off-site location; communicating between the off-site person and a person on the drilling rig wearing a human-portable data communications module via the communication connection; and inserting the tubulars into a wellbore.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Devereaux. In particular, Devereaux suggests transmitting the torque measurement to a remote computer via a wireless communication link (See Devereaux, Page 2, Paragraphs 0015; 0018; 0023); monitoring assembly of the connection via an at least two-way data communication connection over the Internet between the remote computer and a computer at the off-site location by a person at the off-site location (See Devereaux, Page 2, Paragraphs 0015; 0018; 0023); communicating between the off-site person and a person on the drilling rig wearing a human-portable data communications module via the communication connection (See Devereaux, Page 2, Paragraph 0018); and inserting the tubulars into a wellbore (See Devereaux, Page 2, Paragraph 0018).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the features of Devereaux within the system Henneuse with the motivation of providing a small wearable portable access unit (PAU) communicates over high -rate link to a centrally-located network access unit, called a general purpose node herein (See Devereaux, Page 1, Paragraph 0012).

As per claim 97, Henneuse discloses the method further comprising: measuring turns of the first tubular (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 37-46); and transmitting the turns measurement to the remote computer (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 47-68).

As per claim 98, Henneuse discloses the method further comprising determining acceptability of the tubular connection using the torque and turns measurements (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 28-46).

As per claim 99, Devereaux discloses the method wherein the communication between the people comprises directing assembly of the connection by the off-site person (See Devereaux, Page 2, Paragraphs 0015; 0018; 0023).

As per claim 100, Devereaux discloses the method, wherein: the second tubular is part of a tubular string, and the method further comprises drilling a wellbore to an oil and/or gas bearing formation using the tubular string (See Devereaux, Page 2, Paragraph 0018).

As per claim 101, Henneuse discloses the method wherein: the tubular string is stuck or damaged in the wellbore, and the method further comprises recovering at least a portion of the tubular string (See Henneuse, Col.1, lines 13-36).

As per claim 102, Henneuse discloses the method further comprising transmitting data from at least one sensor located in the wellbore to the remote computer (See Henneuse, Fig.1; Col.2, lines 15-68).

As per claim 103, Henneuse discloses the method wherein the sensor monitors a condition of the tubular string (See Henneuse, Col.1, lines 28-44).

As per claim 104, Henneuse discloses the method wherein the tubulars are drill pipe and the tubular string is a drill string (See Henneuse, Col.1, lines 28-44).

As per claim 105, Henneuse discloses the method further comprising scanning barcodes or RFID tags disposed on or in the first tubular (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 47-68).

As per claim 106, Devereaux discloses the method wherein the communication connection is real time (See Devereaux, Abstract).

As per claim 107, Henneuse discloses the method wherein the tubulars are casing (See Henneuse, Col.2, lines 47-68).

As per claim 108, Devereaux discloses the method wherein the communications module comprises an external camera, and the communication between the people

comprises transmitting an image or video corresponding to the on-site person's view to the off-site person (See Devereaux, Fig.2; Page 3, Paragraph 0028).

As per claim 109, Devereaux discloses the method wherein the communications module is fastened to a hardhat (See Devereaux, Page 4, Paragraph 0045).

As per claim 110, Devereaux discloses the method wherein the communications module comprises a video display. (See Devereaux, Page 4, Paragraph 0045).

As per claim 111, Devereaux discloses the method wherein the communications module comprises a GPS locator (See Devereaux, Page 4, Paragraph 0045); and the method further comprises transmitting location information of the on-site person to the off-site computer via the communication connection (See Devereaux, Page 4, Paragraph 0045).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/14/08 with respect to claims 96-111 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VANEL FRENEL whose telephone number is (571)272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571-272-3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,785 Page 8

Art Unit: 3687

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew S Gart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3687

/Vanel Frenel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3687 January 3, 2009